



Exercises: Expected Utility

5.1 (An utility inequality [3p])

Show that for a utility function $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ it holds that

$$m(\mu) > c(\mu) > \frac{\mathbb{E}[Xu'(X)]}{\mathbb{E}[u'(X)]},$$

where X has nondegenerate distribution μ and all expectations are assumed to be finite.

5.2 (CARA utility function [3p])

Let $u(x) = 1 - \exp(-x)$, a CARA function. Consider an investor with utility function u who wants to invest an initial capital. There is one riskless asset, having value 1 and interest rate $r = 0$, and one risky assets with random pay-off S_1 having a normal $\mathcal{N}(m, \sigma^2)$ distribution with $\sigma^2 > 0$. Suppose she invests a fraction λ in the riskless asset and the remainder in the risky asset. The pay-off of this portfolio is thus $\lambda + (1 - \lambda)S_1$. The aim is to maximize her expected utility.

- Show that $\mathbb{E}[\exp(uS_1)] = \exp(um + \frac{1}{2}u^2\sigma^2)$ ($u \in \mathbb{R}$).
- Compute for each λ the certainty equivalent of the portfolio.
- Let λ^* be the optimal value of λ . Give, by direct computations, conditions on the parameters such that each of the cases $\lambda^* = 0$, $\lambda^* = 1$ or $\lambda^* \in (0, 1)$ occurs.
- Compare the results of (c) with the assertions of Proposition 5.13.

5.3 (Decreasing risk aversion [3p])

A utility function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to exhibit *decreasing risk aversion* if the function $x \mapsto \alpha(x)$ (the Arrow-Pratt coefficient) is decreasing. Show that this property is equivalent to saying that for every $x_1 < x_2$ there exists a concave function g such that $g(u(x_1 + z)) = u(x_2 + z)$ for all z (for which the given expressions make sense).

5.4 (CARA Again [3p])

Consider the CARA utility function $u(x) = 1 - \exp(-\alpha x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\alpha > 0$, the constant Arrow-Pratt coefficient.

- Show that the condition $\mathbb{E}[|u(\varphi \cdot Y)|] < \infty$ for $\varphi \in \Xi$ of Theorem 6.4 is equivalent to $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\varphi \cdot Y)] < \infty$, for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- Show that the risk-neutral measure \mathbb{P}^* of Proposition 6.5 is the same for all $\alpha > 0$.
- Suppose that Y has a d -dimensional multivariate normal distribution with mean vector m and invertible covariance matrix Σ . Compute the optimal $\varphi^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

5.5 (Normal and inferior goods [3p])

Given an arbitrage free market and a utility function \tilde{u} as at the beginning of this section, the transformed utility function u depends on the initial capital W_0 . In general, an optimal portfolio will also depend on W_0 . We study this for the case $d = 1$ and zero interest, i.e. $r = 0$. Assume that \tilde{u} is a C^2 function and that everywhere below interchanging of expectation and differentiation is allowed. Put

$$f(w, \varphi) = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{u}'(W_0 + \varphi Y)Y]$$

- Show that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi}(W_0, \varphi) < 0$.
- Conclude that locally for every $W_0 > 0$, there is a continuously differentiable function $x \mapsto \varphi^*(x)$ such that $f(W_0, \varphi^*(W_0)) = 0$.
- Show that

$$\frac{d\varphi^*(W_0)}{dW_0} = -\frac{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{u}''(W_0 + \varphi^*(W_0)Y)Y]}{\mathbb{E}[\tilde{u}''(\varphi^*(W_0)Y + W_0)Y^2]}$$

- (d) Assume that $\mathbb{E}[Y] > 0$ and that Arrow-Pratt coefficient $\tilde{\alpha}(\cdot)$ of \tilde{u} is a decreasing function. Show that $Y\tilde{\alpha}(W_0 + \varphi^*(W_0)Y) \leq Y\tilde{\alpha}(W_0)$.
- (e) Conclude, under the assumptions in (d), that $\varphi^*(\cdot)$ is an increasing function of W_0 . (In Microeconomics, assets with the latter property are called *normal goods*. Assets with decreasing demand ξ^* are called *inferior goods*.)

5.6 (Relative Entropy and Poisson distribution [3p])

Consider a market with one risky good, its value at $t = 1$ is S_1 and price S_0 (at $t = 0$). Assume that S_1 has under \mathbb{P} a Poisson distribution with parameter $\alpha > 0$. Consider the family of probability measures \mathbb{P}_λ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_d$ given by

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_\lambda}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{e^{\lambda \cdot Y}}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \cdot Y}]},$$

where Y is the discounted net gains (see Section 6).

- (a) Show that $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \cdot Y}] < \infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$
- (b) Show that S_1 has a Poisson distribution with parameter αe^λ under \mathbb{P}_λ .
- (c) Compute the minimizer of $\lambda \mapsto \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \cdot Y}]$ directly.
- (d) Show that the minimizer λ^* satisfies $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\lambda^*}}[Y] = 0$.