



## Exercises: Some Utility Theory and Portfolio Optimization

### 4.1 (CARA utility function [3p])

Let  $u(x) = 1 - \exp(-x)$ , a CARA function. Consider an investor with utility function  $u$  who wants to invest an initial capital. There is one riskless asset, having value 1 and interest rate  $r = 0$ , and one risky assets with random pay-off  $S_1$  having a normal  $\mathcal{N}(m, \sigma^2)$  distribution with  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Suppose she invests a fraction  $\lambda$  in the riskless asset and the remainder in the risky asset. The pay-off of this portfolio is thus  $\lambda + (1 - \lambda)S_1$ . The aim is to maximize her expected utility.

- Show that  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(uS_1)] = \exp(um + \frac{1}{2}u^2\sigma^2)$  ( $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ).
- Compute for each  $\lambda$  the certainty equivalent of the portfolio.
- Let  $\lambda^*$  be the optimal value of  $\lambda$ . Give, by direct computations, conditions on the parameters such that each of the cases  $\lambda^* = 0$ ,  $\lambda^* = 1$  or  $\lambda^* \in (0, 1)$  occurs.
- Compare the results of iii) with the assertions of Proposition D.13.

### 4.2 (Maximization of expected utility from terminal wealth in the CRR model [3p])

Consider the CRR model, in which the returns are *iid* with

$$P(R_t = U) = p \quad (\text{where } p \text{ is not necessarily equal to the risk neutral value } p^*).$$

Consider the maximization of the expected utility of terminal wealth  $\mathbb{E}[u(W_T)]$ , with  $u(x) = \log x$ . Compute the optimal trading strategy  $\varphi^*$  via dynamic programming.

(Hint: show that  $\hat{v}_t(x) = \log x + k_t$  for some constants  $k_t$  and  $\hat{v}_t$  as in equation (95) of the lecture notes.)

### 4.3 (Optimality Principle [4p])

Prove the “Optimality Principle” Proposition 6.10.

### 4.4 (Logarithmic utility of terminal wealth [4p])

Assume we are in the same setting of Section 6.3.1 with  $d = 1$  and  $u(x) = \log(x)$ , but with  $(R_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}}$  not necessarily *iid*. Show using Proposition 6.10 that the optimal control  $\alpha^*(t, \omega)$  in this situation is given as the maximizer of the mapping  $\gamma \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\log(1 + \gamma R_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}](\omega)$ .

### 4.5 (Optimal control variance-optimal hedging [4p])

Let us consider the variance-optimal hedging problem, where  $(S_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}}$  denotes a one-dimensional square-integrable asset price process and  $H \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$  an European contingent claim. Moreover, we assume that  $(S_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}}$  is already a martingale under  $\mathbb{P}$ . We already know from Theorem 3.9 that the variance-optimal hedge  $(W_0^*, \phi^*)$  is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{t+1}^* &:= \frac{\text{Cov}(\Delta \widehat{W}_{t+1}, \Delta X_{t+1} | \mathcal{F}_t)}{\sigma_{t+1}^2} \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_{t+1} \neq 0\}}, \quad t = 0, \dots, T-1, \\ W_0^* &:= \widehat{W}_0 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\widehat{H}]. \end{cases}$$

Identify the variance-optimal hedging problem with a stochastic optimal control problem and show that the variance-optimal hedge  $(W_0^*, \phi^*)$  solves the optimal control problem using Proposition 6.10 in the lecture notes.